Interview Summary	10/787,184	10/787,184		TERASHIMA ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Thanh-Tam T. L	e	2839		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Thanh-Tam T. Le</u> .	(3)				
) <u>Carl Schaukowitch</u> . (4)					
Date of Interview: 20 September 2004.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3 and 5</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <i>Kajinuma (6,132,258).</i>					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.					
reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed and agreed with Mr. Carl Schaukowitch upon the changes to clarify the claimed invention to more distinctly define over the prior art of record to incorporate claim 5 into claim 1 thereby to place the case in condition for allowance.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.</u>					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an					
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Ex	Examiner's signature, if required			

Application No.

Applicant(s)